

Data



Fig. 1: Data distribution. Water column (WC) measurements of $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ updated from

Schmittner et al. (2013). Foram data are from the compilation of **fossil** shells from Peterson et al. (2014; P14), Duplessy et al. (1984; D84), Mix (unpublished), and Cartapanis (unpublished; Car) as well as the polar compilations from mostly **living** forams by Mackensen (2012; M12) and Mackensen (2013; M13).



Fig. 2: Depth, CO₃, anthropogenic δ^{13} C, and temperature. CO3 and temperature data were used from the same samples as the water column measurements filled in with climatologies (GLODAP, WOA05).

References:

- Mackensen, A. (2013), High epibenthic foraminiferal delta C-13 in the Recent deep Arctic Ocean: Implications for ventilation and brine release during stadials, Paleoceanography, 28(3), 574-584.
- Peterson, C. D., L. E. Lisiecki, and J. V. Stern (2014), Deglacial whole-ocean delta C-13 change estimated from 480 benthic foraminiferal records, Paleoceanography, 29(6), 549-563.

Global Calibration of Benthic Foraminiferal Carbon Isotope Ratios

Andreas Schmittner¹, Olivier Cartapanis², Lorraine Lisiecki³, Andreas Mackensen⁴, Alan Mix¹, Carlye Peterson³ ¹Oregon State University, ²University of Bern, ³University of California, Santa Barbara, ⁴Alfred Wegener Institute

Research Questions

- How well does $\delta^{13}C$ measured in foram (cibicides) shells ($\delta^{13}C_{oib}$) represent water column $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$?
- Are there carbonate ion and other complicating effects?
- What are the errors and uncertainties?

Methods

- Mapping of WC to sediment core locations using great circle horizontal distances Δd = 1,000 km (default) and $\Delta d = 500$ km and vertical distance $\Delta z = z/5$ (default) and dz = z/10.
- •Anthropogenic $\delta^{13}C$ (Suess Effect, SE) was removed from WC and living foram data using model results (SE=M; Schmittner et al., 2013).
- Multiple linear regression analysis $\delta^{13}C_{cib} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}\delta^{13}C_{DIC} + \mathbf{c}CO_3^2 + \mathbf{d}T + \varepsilon$ considering carbonate ion (CO₂²⁻) and temperature (T) effects and neglecting errors in $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$.



Fig. 3: Sediment (left) and WC (right) data. Top: raw data. Bottom: corrected for anthropogenic effects.

Results

• Carbonate ion, temperature, and pressure effects are affecting $\delta^{13}C_{cib}$, consistent with experimental and theoretical studies, and should be accounted for according to the following equation: $\delta^{13}C_{DIC} = -0.50 + 0.94 \cdot \delta^{13}C_{Cib} + 3.1 \times 10^{-3} \cdot CO_3 - 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \cdot T + 5.8 \times 10^{-5} \cdot z$ (the depth dependence has been deduced by regressing the residuals to z) (errors decrease by $\sim 10\%$ Figs. 4 & 7) • Errors are 0.2-0.3 ‰, except in the South Atlantic where they are larger ~ 0.4 ‰

• Duplessy, J. C., N. J. Shackleton, R. K. Matthews, W. Prell, W. F. Ruddiman, M. Caralp, and C. H. Hendy (1984), C-13 Record of Benthic Foraminifera in the Last Interglacial Ocean - Implications for the Carbon-Cycle and the Global Deep-Water Circulation, Quaternary Res, 21(2), 225-243. • Hesse, T., D. Wolf-Gladrow, G. Lohmann, J. Bijma, A. Mackensen, and R. E. Zeebe (2014), Modelling delta C-13 in benthic foraminifera: Insights from model sensitivity experiments, Marine Micropaleontology, 112, 50-61. • Mackensen, A. (2012), Strong thermodynamic imprint on Recent bottom-water and epibenthic delta C-13 in the Weddell Sea revealed: Implications for glacial Southern Ocean ventilation, Earth Planet Sc Lett, 317, 20-26.

· Schmittner, A., N. Gruber, A. C. Mix, R. M. Key, A. Tagliabue, and T. K. Westberry (2013), Biology and air-sea gas exchange controls on the distribution of carbon isotope ratios (δ13C) in the ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 10(9), 5793-5816.





Fig. 4: Left: difference between foram and WC data ($\sigma = 0.32$ %). Right: standard deviation of WC data mapped on sediment core locations as an estimate of the WC data error ($\sigma_{WC} = 0.13$ %).







Fig. 7: Residuals (ϵ) from the linear regression analyses as a function of the fitted values $\delta^{13}C_{ab}$.







[-1.2 - -0.]

[-0.7 - -0.2]

[-0.2 - 0.2]

[0.2 - 0.7]

Fig. 6: Errors of eq. (1). $\sigma = 0.29$ ‰. Compare with Fig. 4C.